

On higher analogues of Courant algebroids ^{*}

Yanhui Bi

Department of Mathematics and LMAM,
Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

Yunhe Sheng

Mathematics School & Institute of Jilin University,
Changchun 130012, Jilin, China

AND

Department of Mathematics, Dalian University of Technology,
Dalian 116023, China
email: shengyh@jlu.edu.cn

Abstract

In this paper, we study the algebraic properties of the higher analogues of Courant algebroid structures on the direct sum bundle $TM \oplus \wedge^n T^*M$ for an m -dimensional manifold. As an application, we revisit Nambu-Poisson structures and multisymplectic structures. We prove that the graph of an $(n+1)$ -vector field π is closed under the higher-order Dorfman bracket iff π is a Nambu-Poisson structure. Consequently, there is an induced Leibniz algebroid structure on $\wedge^n T^*M$. The graph of an $(n+1)$ -form ω is closed under the higher-order Dorfman bracket iff ω is a premultisymplectic structure of order n , i.e. $d\omega = 0$. Furthermore, there is a Lie algebroid structure on the admissible bundle $A \subset \wedge^n T^*M$. In particular, for a 2-plectic structure, it induces the Lie 2-algebra structure given in [3].

1 Introduction

The notion of Courant algebroid was introduced in [15] to study the double of Lie bialgebroids. Equivalent definition was given by Roytenberg [19]. In recent years, Courant algebroids have been far and wide studied from several aspects and have been found many applications in the theory of Manin pairs and moment maps [1, 14]; generalized complex structures [10, 24]; L_∞ -algebras and symplectic supermanifolds [19]; gerbes as well as BV algebras and topological field theories.

Roughly speaking, a Courant algebroid is a vector bundle together with a nondegenerate symmetric pairing, a bracket operation and an anchor map such that some compatible conditions are satisfied. The standard Courant algebroid is the direct sum bundle $TM \oplus T^*M$. The standard Courant bracket is given by

$$[[X + \alpha, Y + \beta]] = [X, Y] + L_X\beta - L_Y\alpha + \frac{1}{2}(di_Y\alpha - di_X\beta).$$

⁰ *Keyword: higher analogues of Courant algebroids, multisymplectic structures, Nambu-Poisson structures, Leibniz algebroids*

⁰ *MSC: 17B99, 53D05, 53D17.*

^{*}Research partially supported by NSF of China (10871007), US-China CMR Noncommutative Geometry (10911120391/A0109), China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (20090451267), Science Research Foundation for Excellent Young Teachers of Mathematics School at Jilin University.

However, many experts know that on the direct sum bundle $TM \oplus \wedge^n T^*M$, there is also a similar bracket operation, which we call the higher-order Courant bracket. But the nature of its full algebraic structures remains a work in progress. The main purpose of this paper is to study its properties. We proved that the Jacobi identity holds up to an exact term. Moreover, we can also introduce the Dorfman bracket $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ which is not skew-symmetric. It follows that $(TM \oplus \wedge^n T^*M, \{\cdot, \cdot\}, \rho)$ is a Leibniz algebroid, where ρ is the projection to TM . As an application, we revisit Nambu-Poisson structures and multisymplectic structures.

Nambu-Poisson structures were introduced in [25] by Takhtajan in order to find an axiomatic formalism for Nambu-mechanics which is a generalization of Hamiltonian mechanics [5, 4]. The local and global structures of a Nambu-Poisson manifold were studied in [12, 16, 17]. It was further studied by Grabowski and Marmo [9]. In [13], the authors proved that associated to a Nambu-Poisson structure¹ of order n , there is a bracket operation on $\wedge^n T^*M$ such that it is a Leibniz algebroid. However, we do not think it is the best bracket that make $\wedge^n T^*M$ to be a Leibniz algebroid. We find that π is a Nambu-Poisson structure of order n if and only if the graph of π is closed under the higher-order Dorfman bracket operation $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$. Consequently, there is an induced bracket operation $[\cdot, \cdot]_\pi$ on $\wedge^n T^*M$,

$$[\alpha, \beta]_\pi = L_{\pi^\#(\alpha)}\beta - L_{\pi^\#(\beta)}\alpha + di_{\pi^\#(\beta)}\alpha,$$

such that $(\wedge^n T^*M, [\cdot, \cdot]_\pi, \rho)$ is a Leibniz algebroid, where ρ is the projection to TM . In particular, if $n = 1$, we recover the cotangent bundle Lie algebroid.

In [3], Baez, Hoffnung and Rogers introduced a hemi-bracket and a semi-bracket on the set of Hamiltonian $(n - 1)$ -forms associated to a multisymplectic structure of order n , or equivalently, an n -plectic structure². In particular, one can obtain a Lie 2-algebra for a 2-plectic structure. Recently, several aspects of the theory have been studied in depth [18, 26]. In this paper, we introduce a Lie bracket on admissible n -forms. Since admissible n -forms are sections of a vector bundle $A \subset \wedge^n T^*M$, we obtain a Lie algebroid. We also show that how one can obtain Baez's semi-bracket and hemi-bracket on Hamiltonian $(n - 1)$ -forms from our Lie algebroid. The relation between n -plectic structures and the Leibniz algebroid $(TM \oplus \wedge^n T^*M, \{\cdot, \cdot\}, \rho)$ is also discussed.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we study the algebraic properties of the higher-order Courant bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$ and the higher-order Dorfman bracket $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ on the direct sum bundle $TM \oplus \wedge^n T^*M$. We prove that the Jacobi identity of $[\cdot, \cdot]$ holds up to an exact term and the triple $(TM \oplus \wedge^n T^*M, \{\cdot, \cdot\}, \rho)$ is a Leibniz algebroid. In Section 3 we study the relation between Nambu-Poisson structures and the higher-order Dorfman bracket. There is an induced Leibniz algebroid structure on $\wedge^n T^*M$ associated to a Nambu-Poisson structure. In Section 4 we revisit multisymplectic structures and construct a Lie algebroid, whose sections are admissible n -forms.

Acknowledgement: We give warmest thanks to Zhangju Liu and Chenchang Zhu for useful discussion. We also thank the referees for very helpful comments.

2 Higher-order Courant brackets

In this section, we consider the higher-order Courant brackets on the direct sum bundle

$$\mathcal{T}^n \triangleq TM \oplus \wedge^n T^*M$$

and associated properties. There is a natural $\wedge^{n-1} T^*M$ -valued nondegenerate symmetric pairing $(\cdot, \cdot)$ on it,

$$(X + \alpha, Y + \beta) = \frac{1}{2}(i_X\beta + i_Y\alpha), \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M), \alpha, \beta \in \Omega^n(M). \quad (1)$$

¹it is an $(n + 1)$ -vector field satisfying some integrability conditions

²it is an $(n + 1)$ -form which is closed and nondegenerate

The higher-order Courant bracket $\llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket$ on the section space of $\mathcal{T}^n$ is defined as follows,

$$\llbracket X + \alpha, Y + \beta \rrbracket = [X, Y] + L_X \beta - L_Y \alpha + \frac{1}{2}(di_Y \alpha - di_X \beta). \quad (2)$$

Obviously, it is skew-symmetric. When $n = 0$, we obtain the Lie algebroid $TM \times \mathbb{R}$. When $n = 1$, it is exactly the standard Courant bracket [15, 19]. Let $\rho : \mathcal{T}^n \rightarrow TM$ be the projection, i.e.

$$\rho(X + \alpha) = X, \quad \forall X + \alpha \in \Gamma(\mathcal{T}^n). \quad (3)$$

We call $(\mathcal{T}^n, (\cdot, \cdot), \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket, \rho)$ the higher analogues of Courant algebroids.

Remark 2.1. In [20], Roytenberg and Weinstein proved that a Courant algebroid gives rise to a 2-term L_∞ -algebra. However, as proposed in Section 3.8 in [10], the nature of the full algebraic structures for all $TM \oplus \wedge^n T^*M$ remains a work in progress. For more details about generalized complex structures of Courant-Jacobi algebroids, see [22]

The higher-order Courant bracket satisfies some similar properties as the Courant bracket.

Theorem 2.2. For any $e_1, e_2, e_3 \in \Gamma(\mathcal{T}^n)$, $f \in C^\infty(M)$, $\xi \in \Omega^{n-1}(M)$, we have

- (i). $\llbracket e_1, \llbracket e_2, e_3 \rrbracket \rrbracket + c.p. = dT(e_1, e_2, e_3)$, where $T : \wedge^3 \mathcal{T}^n \rightarrow \wedge^{n-1} T^*M$ is defined by

$$T(e_1, e_2, e_3) = -\frac{1}{3}(\langle \llbracket e_1, e_2 \rrbracket, e_3 \rangle + c.p.).$$

- (ii). $\llbracket e_1, f e_2 \rrbracket = f \llbracket e_1, e_2 \rrbracket + \rho(e_1)(f) e_2 - df \wedge (e_1, e_2)$.

- (iii). $\rho \llbracket e_1, e_2 \rrbracket = [\rho(e_1), \rho(e_2)]$.

- (iv). $L_{\rho(e_1)}(e_2, e_3) = (\llbracket e_1, e_2 \rrbracket + d(e_1, e_2), e_3) + (e_2, \llbracket e_1, e_3 \rrbracket + d(e_1, e_3))$.

The properties (i)–(iii) are the same as the ones for Courant algebroids. However, the property (iv) is different since the Lie derivation is involved in.

Remark 2.3. The proof of this theorem needs some computation. In the following, we will introduce the higher order Dorfman bracket (see (4)), which will be used more often since it satisfies better properties (Theorem 2.5). To avoid repeat, we only give the proof of Theorem 2.5.

We can also introduce the following higher-order Dorfman bracket,

$$\{e_1, e_2\} = \llbracket e_1, e_2 \rrbracket + d(e_1, e_2), \quad \forall e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(\mathcal{T}^n). \quad (4)$$

When $n = 1$, it is exactly the Dorfman bracket. Assume that $e_1 = X + \alpha$, $e_2 = Y + \beta$, we have

$$\{X + \alpha, Y + \beta\} = [X, Y] + L_X \beta - L_Y \alpha + di_Y \alpha. \quad (5)$$

It is obvious that

$$\{X + \alpha, X + \alpha\} = d(X + \alpha, X + \alpha) = di_X \alpha.$$

Leibniz algebroids are generalizations of Lie algebroids.

Definition 2.4. [13] A Leibniz algebroid structure on a vector bundle $E \rightarrow M$ is a pair that consists of a Leibniz algebra structure $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ on the section space $\Gamma(E)$ and a vector bundle morphism $\rho : E \rightarrow TM$, called the anchor, such that the following relations are satisfied:

- (1) $\rho\{X, Y\} = [\rho(X), \rho(Y)], \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(E);$

$$(2) \quad \{X, fY\} = f[X, Y] + \rho(X)(f)Y, \quad \forall f \in C^\infty(M).$$

If the bracket $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ is skew-symmetric, we recover the notion of Lie algebroids.

The higher-order Dorfman bracket also satisfies similar properties as the usual Dorfman bracket.

Theorem 2.5. (1). *For any $e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(\mathcal{T}^n)$, $f \in C^\infty(M)$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \{e_1, fe_2\} &= f\{e_1, e_2\} + \rho(e_1)(f)e_2, \\ \{fe_1, e_2\} &= f\{e_1, e_2\} - \rho(e_2)(f)e_1 + df \wedge 2(e_1, e_2). \end{aligned}$$

(2). *The Dorfman bracket $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ is a Leibniz bracket, i.e. for any $e_1, e_2, e_3 \in \Gamma(\mathcal{T}^n)$,*

$$\{e_1, \{e_2, e_3\}\} = \{\{e_1, e_2\}, e_3\} + \{e_2, \{e_1, e_3\}\}.$$

Consequently, $(\mathcal{T}^n, \{\cdot, \cdot\}, \rho)$ is a Leibniz algebroid.

(3). *The pairing (1) and the higher-order Dorfman bracket is compatible in the following sense,*

$$L_{\rho(e_1)}(e_2, e_3) = (\{e_1, e_2\}, e_3) + (e_2, \{e_1, e_3\}). \quad (6)$$

Proof. (1). Write $e_1 = X + \alpha$, $e_2 = Y + \beta$, where $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega^1(M)$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{X + \alpha, f(Y + \beta)\} &= [X, fY] + L_X f\beta - i_{fY}d\alpha \\ &= f[X, Y] + X(f)Y + fL_X\beta + X(f)\beta - fi_Yd\alpha \\ &= f\{X + \alpha, Y + \beta\} + X(f)(Y + \beta). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can obtain the expression of $\{fe_1, e_2\}$ as in the theorem.

(2). Write $e_3 = Z + \gamma$, where $Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ and $\gamma \in \Omega^1(M)$, by straightforward computations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{X + \alpha, \{Y + \beta, Z + \gamma\}\} &= [X, [Y, Z]] + L_X L_Y \gamma - L_X L_Z \beta + L_X di_Z \beta - L_{[Y, Z]} \alpha + di_{[Y, Z]} \alpha, \\ \{\{X + \alpha, Y + \beta\}, Z + \gamma\} &= [[X, Y], Z] + L_{[X, Y]} \gamma - L_Z L_X \beta + L_Z L_Y \alpha - L_Z di_Y \alpha \\ &\quad + di_Z L_X \beta - di_Z L_Y \alpha + di_Z di_Y \alpha, \\ \{Y + \beta, \{X + \alpha, Z + \gamma\}\} &= [Y, [X, Z]] + L_Y L_X \gamma - L_Y L_Z \alpha + L_Y di_Z \alpha - L_{[X, Z]} \beta + di_{[X, Z]} \beta. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we only need to show that

$$L_X di_Z \beta + di_{[Y, Z]} \alpha = L_Y di_Z \alpha + di_{[X, Z]} \beta - L_Z di_Y \alpha + di_Z L_X \beta - di_Z L_Y \alpha + di_Z di_Y \alpha,$$

which is equivalent to that

$$\begin{aligned} L_X di_Z \beta &= di_{[X, Z]} \beta + di_Z L_X \beta, \\ di_{[Y, Z]} \alpha &= L_Y di_Z \alpha - L_Z di_Y \alpha - di_Z L_Y \alpha + di_Z di_Y \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

It is straightforward to see that they follow from the Cartan formula $L_X = i_X \circ d + d \circ i_X$ and

$$\begin{aligned} d \circ L_X &= L_X \circ d, \\ i_{[X, Y]} \alpha &= i_X L_Y \alpha - L_Y i_X \alpha = -(i_Y L_X \alpha - L_X i_Y \alpha). \end{aligned}$$

At last, $\rho\{X + \alpha, Y + \beta\} = [X, Y]$ is obvious. Therefore, $(TM \oplus \wedge^n T^*M, \{\cdot, \cdot\}, \rho)$ is a Leibniz algebroid.

(3). With the same notations as above, the left hand side of (6) is equal to

$$\frac{1}{2}L_X i_Y \gamma + \frac{1}{2}L_X i_Z \beta.$$

The right hand side is equal to

$$\frac{1}{2}(i_{[X,Y]}\gamma + i_Z L_X \beta + i_Y L_X \gamma + i_{[X,Z]}\beta).$$

The conclusion follows from

$$i_{[X,Y]} = L_X i_Y - i_Y L_X.$$

This finishes the proof. ■

Remark 2.6. Recently several generalized Courant algebroid structures are introduced such as *E-Courant algebroids* [7] and *Loday algebroids* [23]. *E-Courant algebroids* were introduced to unify Courant-Jacobi algebroids and omni-Lie algebroids [6]. In an *E-Courant algebroid*, the pairing takes value in a vector bundle E and the target of the anchor is the covariant differential operator bundle $\mathfrak{D}E$. Since the pairing in $\mathcal{T}^n$ is $\wedge^{n-1}T^*M$ -valued, we expect it to be a $\wedge^{n-1}T^*M$ -Courant algebroid. Unfortunately this is not true since the composition of the Lie derivation and ρ is not a bundle map. In a Loday algebroid, the compatibility condition between the pairing and the bracket is removed. Since the pairing in $\mathcal{T}^n$ takes value in $\wedge^{n-1}T^*M$, it fails to be a Loday algebroid. It is a future work to pursue the geometry behind this higher-order bracket.

The standard Courant bracket can be deformed by a closed 3-form [21]. Similarly, consider the following deformed Dorfman bracket of order n by an $(n+2)$ -form Θ ,

$$\{X + \alpha, Y + \beta\}_\Theta = [X, Y] + L_X \beta - L_Y \alpha + di_Y \alpha + i_{X \wedge Y} \Theta. \quad (7)$$

Similar as the classical case, we have

Proposition 2.7. The deformed bracket $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_\Theta$ satisfies the Leibniz rule iff Θ is closed.

For any $\Phi \in \Omega^{n+1}(M)$, define $e^\Phi : \mathcal{T}^n \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}^n$ by

$$e^\Phi(X + \alpha) = X + \alpha + i_X \Phi.$$

It is straightforward to obtain

Proposition 2.8. For any $X + \alpha, Y + \beta \in \Gamma(\mathcal{T}^n)$, we have

$$e^\Phi\{X + \alpha, Y + \beta\}_{d\Phi} = \{e^\Phi(X + \alpha), e^\Phi(Y + \beta)\}.$$

Consequently, e^Φ is an isomorphism from the Leibniz algebroid $(\mathcal{T}^n, \{\cdot, \cdot\}_{d\Phi}, \rho)$ to the Leibniz algebroid $(\mathcal{T}^n, \{\cdot, \cdot\}, \rho)$.

Furthermore, it is obvious that for any diffeomorphism $f \in \text{Diff}(M)$, $(f_*, f^{*-1}) : \mathcal{T}^n \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}^n$ is an automorphism preserving the higher-order Dorfman bracket $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$. By Proposition 2.8, if an $(n+1)$ -form Φ is closed, e^Φ is also an automorphism preserving the higher-order Dorfman bracket $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$. Similar as the classical case, we have

Theorem 2.9. The group of orthogonal automorphisms of $\mathcal{T}^n$ preserving the higher-order Dorfman bracket $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ is the semidirect product of $\text{Diff}(M)$ and $\Omega_c^{n+1}(M)$, where $\Omega_c^{n+1}(M)$ is the set of closed $(n+1)$ -forms.

We omit the proof which is similar as the proof of Proposition 3.24 in [10].

In the classical case, Dirac structures of the Courant algebroid $TM \oplus T^*M$ unify both presymplectic structures and Poisson structures. More precisely, the graph of a 2-form $\omega \in \Omega^2(M)$ is a Dirac structure iff ω is closed and the graph of a 2-vector field $\pi \in \mathfrak{X}^2(M)$ is a Dirac structure iff π is a Poisson structure. Here a Dirac structure means a maximal isotropic subbundle whose section space is closed under the Courant bracket. See [8] for more details.

In the higher-order case, similarly we have that the graph of an $(n+1)$ -form is closed under the higher-order Courant bracket iff ω is a premultisymplectic structure, i.e. $d\omega = 0$. The graph of an $(n+1)$ -vector field is closed under the higher-order Courant bracket iff π is a Nambu-Poisson structure. However, the graph of an $(n+1)$ -vector field is not isotropic, thus we can not talk about Dirac structures. In [11], the author introduced Nambu Dirac structures to unify both the structures. In the following two sections, we will study Nambu-Poisson structures and multisymplectic structures respectively.

3 Nambu-Poisson structures

A Poisson structure on an m -dimensional smooth manifold M is a bilinear skew-symmetric map $\{\cdot, \cdot\} : C^\infty(M) \times C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ such that the Leibniz rule and the Jacobi identity are satisfied. It is well known that it is equivalent to a bivector field $\pi \in \wedge^2 \mathfrak{X}(M)$ such that $[\pi, \pi] = 0$. The relation is given by $\{f, g\} = \pi(df, dg)$. We usually denote a Poisson manifold by (M, π) . For any $\sigma \in \mathfrak{X}^{n+1}(M)$ and $\theta \in \Omega^{n+1}(M)$, $\sigma^\sharp : \wedge^n T^*M \rightarrow TM$ and $\theta_\sharp : TM \rightarrow \wedge^n T^*M$ are given by

$$\sigma^\sharp(\xi) = i_\xi \sigma, \quad \theta_\sharp(X) = i_X \theta, \quad \forall \xi \in \Omega^n(M), \forall X \in \mathfrak{X}(M).$$

Denote by $\mathfrak{G}_\sigma \subset TM \oplus \wedge^n T^*M$ (resp. $\mathfrak{G}_\theta$) the graph of $\sigma^\sharp$ (resp. $\theta_\sharp$).

For a Poisson manifold (M, π) , there is a skew-symmetric bracket operation $[\cdot, \cdot]_\pi$ on 1-forms which is given by

$$[\alpha, \beta]_\pi = L_{\pi^\sharp(\alpha)}\beta - L_{\pi^\sharp(\beta)}\alpha + di_{\pi^\sharp(\beta)}\alpha, \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in \Omega^1(M). \quad (8)$$

It follows that $(T^*M, [\cdot, \cdot]_\pi, \pi^\sharp)$ is a Lie algebroid. Also we have $[df, dg]_\pi = d\{f, g\}$.

Definition 3.1. [25] *A Nambu-Poisson structure of order n on M is an $(n+1)$ -linear map $\{\cdot, \dots, \cdot\} : C^\infty(M) \times \dots \times C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ satisfying the following properties:*

(1) *skewsymmetry, i.e.*

$$\{f_1, \dots, f_{n+1}\} = (-1)^{|\sigma|} \{f_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, f_{\sigma(n+1)}\},$$

for all $f_1, \dots, f_{n+1} \in C^\infty(M)$ and $\sigma \in \text{Symm}(n+1)$;

(2) *the Leibniz rule, i.e. for any $g \in C^\infty(M)$, we have*

$$\{f_1 g, \dots, f_{n+1}\} = f_1 \{g, \dots, f_{n+1}\} + g \{f_1, \dots, f_{n+1}\};$$

(3) *integrability condition:*

$$\{f_1, \dots, f_n, \{g_1, \dots, g_{n+1}\}\} = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \{g_1, \dots, \{f_1, \dots, f_n, g_i\}, \dots, g_{n+1}\}.$$

In particular, a Nambu-Poisson structure of order 1 is exactly a usual Poisson structure. Similar as the fact that a Poisson structure is determined by a bivector field, a Nambu-Poisson structure of order n is determined by an $(n+1)$ -vector field $\pi \in \mathfrak{X}^{n+1}(M)$ such that

$$\{f_1, \dots, f_{n+1}\} = \pi(df_1, \dots, df_{n+1}).$$

An $(n+1)$ -vector field $\pi \in \mathfrak{X}^{n+1}(M)$ is a Nambu-Poisson structure if and only if for $f_1, \dots, f_n \in C^\infty(M)$, we have

$$L_{\pi^\sharp(df_1 \wedge \dots \wedge df_n)}\pi = 0.$$

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\pi \in \mathfrak{X}^{n+1}(M)$ be a Nambu-Poisson structure, the following two statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *The graph of $\pi^\sharp$ is closed under the higher-order Courant bracket operation (2);*
- (ii) *The graph of $\pi^\sharp$ is closed under the higher-order Dorfman bracket operation (5).*

Proof. By (4), we only need to prove that

$$\pi^\sharp(d(i_{\pi^\sharp(\alpha)}\beta + i_{\pi^\sharp(\beta)}\alpha)) = \pi^\sharp(L_{\pi^\sharp(\alpha)}\beta - i_{\pi^\sharp(\alpha)}d\beta + L_{\pi^\sharp(\beta)}\alpha - i_{\pi^\sharp(\beta)}d\alpha) = 0,$$

for any $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega^n(M)$. The conclusion follows from the following two formulas:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^\sharp(L_{\pi^\sharp(\alpha)}\beta) &= [\pi^\sharp(\alpha), \pi^\sharp(\beta)] + (-1)^n(i_{d\alpha}\pi)\pi^\sharp(\beta); \\ \pi^\sharp(i_{\pi^\sharp(\alpha)}d\beta) &= (-1)^n(i_{d\beta}\pi)\pi^\sharp(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

The proof is finished. ■

Theorem 3.3. *Let π be an $(n+1)$ -vector field, the graph $\mathfrak{G}_\pi \subset \mathcal{T}^n$ is closed under the higher-order Dorfman bracket $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ iff π is a Nambu-Poisson structure.*

Proof. We have

$$\{\pi^\sharp(\alpha) + \alpha, \pi^\sharp(\beta) + \beta\} = [\pi^\sharp(\alpha), \pi^\sharp(\beta)] + L_{\pi^\sharp(\alpha)}\beta - L_{\pi^\sharp(\beta)}\alpha + di_{\pi^\sharp(\beta)}\alpha.$$

Thus the graph $\mathfrak{G}_\pi$ is closed iff

$$\begin{aligned} [\pi^\sharp(\alpha), \pi^\sharp(\beta)] &= \pi^\sharp(L_{\pi^\sharp(\alpha)}\beta - L_{\pi^\sharp(\beta)}\alpha + di_{\pi^\sharp(\beta)}\alpha) \\ &= \pi^\sharp(L_{\pi^\sharp(\alpha)}\beta - i_{\pi^\sharp(\beta)}d\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\pi^\sharp(\alpha), \pi^\sharp(\beta)] &= L_{\pi^\sharp(\alpha)}\langle\beta, \pi\rangle \\ &= \langle L_{\pi^\sharp(\alpha)}\beta, \pi\rangle + \langle\beta, L_{\pi^\sharp(\alpha)}\pi\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by the equality

$$\pi^\sharp(L_{\pi^\sharp(\alpha)}\beta - i_{\pi^\sharp(\beta)}d\alpha) = [\pi^\sharp(\alpha), \pi^\sharp(\beta)],$$

we have

$$\langle L_{\pi^\sharp(\alpha)}\pi, \beta\rangle = -\pi^\sharp(i_{\pi^\sharp(\beta)}d\alpha).$$

Let $\alpha = df_1 \wedge \dots \wedge df_n$, then $d\alpha = 0$. Thus for any n -form β , we have

$$\langle L_{\pi^\sharp(df_1 \wedge \dots \wedge df_n)}\pi, \beta\rangle = 0,$$

which implies that

$$L_{\pi^\sharp(df_1 \wedge \dots \wedge df_n)}\pi = 0,$$

i.e. π is a Nambu-Poisson structure of order n .

Conversely, if π is a Nambu-Poisson structure of order n , by the local expression of π [12, 16, 17], at any point $x \in M$ satisfying $\pi(x) \neq 0$, we can choose a local coordinates $(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}, \dots, x_m)$ around x such that

$$\pi = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n+1}}.$$

Then it is not hard to see that the graph $\mathfrak{G}_\pi$ is closed under the higher-order Dorfman bracket. ■

Corollary 3.4. *Let π be a Nambu-Poisson structure of order n .*

- (1). *The graph $\mathfrak{G}_\pi \subset \mathcal{T}^n$ is a sub-Leibniz algebroid of the Leibniz algebroid $(\mathcal{T}^n, \{\cdot, \cdot\}, \rho)$.*
- (2). *There is an induced bracket operation $[\cdot, \cdot]_\pi$ on $\Omega^n(M)$,*

$$[\alpha, \beta]_\pi = L_{\pi^\sharp(\alpha)}\beta - L_{\pi^\sharp(\beta)}\alpha + di_{\pi^\sharp(\beta)}\alpha, \quad (9)$$

*which is a natural generalization of the bracket given by (8). Consequently, $(\wedge^n T^*M, [\cdot, \cdot]_\pi, \pi^\sharp)$ is a Leibniz algebroid.*

In the following, we prove that there is also a Leibniz algebra $(\Omega^{n-1}(M), \{\cdot, \cdot\}_\pi)$ associated to any Nambu-Poisson structure π of order n .

For any $\xi, \eta \in \Omega^{n-1}(M)$, we have

$$[d\xi, d\eta]_\pi = L_{\pi^\sharp d\xi}d\eta = dL_{\pi^\sharp(d\xi)}\eta.$$

Define the bilinear bracket $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_\pi$ on $\Omega^{n-1}(M)$ by

$$\{\xi, \eta\}_\pi = L_{\pi^\sharp(d\xi)}\eta. \quad (10)$$

Obviously we have

$$[d\xi, d\eta]_\pi = d\{\xi, \eta\}_\pi. \quad (11)$$

Theorem 3.5. *Let π be a Nambu-Poisson structure of order n . Then $(\Omega^{n-1}(M), \{\cdot, \cdot\}_\pi)$ is a Leibniz algebra. In particular, if $n = 1$, $(C^\infty(M), \{\cdot, \cdot\}_\pi)$ is the canonical Poisson algebra.*

Proof. First by (11), we have

$$\pi^\sharp dL_{\pi^\sharp(d\xi)}\eta = \pi^\sharp d\{\xi, \eta\}_\pi = \pi^\sharp [d\xi, d\eta]_\pi.$$

The fact that $(\wedge^n T^*M, [\cdot, \cdot]_\pi, \pi^\sharp)$ is a Leibniz algebroid yields that

$$\pi^\sharp dL_{\pi^\sharp(d\xi)}\eta = [\pi^\sharp(d\xi), \pi^\sharp(d\eta)].$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \{\xi, \{\eta, \gamma\}_\pi\}_\pi - \{\{\xi, \eta\}_\pi, \gamma\}_\pi - \{\eta\{\xi, \gamma\}_\pi\}_\pi \\ &= L_{\pi^\sharp(d\xi)}L_{\pi^\sharp(d\eta)}\gamma - L_{\pi^\sharp(dL_{\pi^\sharp(d\xi)}\eta)}\gamma - L_{\pi^\sharp(d\eta)}L_{\pi^\sharp(d\xi)}\gamma \\ &= L_{[\pi^\sharp(d\xi), \pi^\sharp(d\eta)]}\gamma - L_{\pi^\sharp(dL_{\pi^\sharp(d\xi)}\eta)}\gamma \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $(\Omega^{n-1}(M), \{\cdot, \cdot\}_\pi)$ is a Leibniz algebra. The other conclusion is obvious and the proof is completed. ■

Remark 3.6. In [13], the authors introduced a bracket operation $[\cdot, \cdot]^\pi : \Omega^n(M) \times \Omega^n(M) \longrightarrow \Omega^n(M)$ associated with a Nambu-Poisson structure π of order n by setting

$$[\alpha, \beta]^\pi = L_{\pi^\sharp(\alpha)}\beta + (-1)^{n+1}(i_{d\alpha}\pi)\beta. \quad (12)$$

This bracket is not skew-symmetric. However, the Leibniz rule is satisfied, i.e.

$$[\alpha, [\beta, \gamma]^\pi]^\pi = [[\alpha, \beta]^\pi, \gamma]^\pi + [\beta, [\alpha, \gamma]^\pi]^\pi.$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\pi^\sharp[\alpha, \beta]^\pi = [\pi^\sharp(\alpha), \pi^\sharp(\beta)].$$

It follows that $(\wedge^n T^*M, [\cdot, \cdot]^\pi, \pi^\sharp)$ is a Leibniz algebroid.

It is obvious that the bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]_\pi$ given by (9) and the bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]^\pi$ given by (12) are not the same even though both of them define Leibniz algebroid structures on $\wedge^n T^*M$. By the equalities

$$\pi^\sharp[\alpha, \beta]^\pi = [\pi^\sharp(\alpha), \pi^\sharp(\beta)] \quad \pi^\sharp[\alpha, \beta]_\pi = [\pi^\sharp(\alpha), \pi^\sharp(\beta)],$$

we have

$$\pi^\sharp([\alpha, \beta]_\pi - [\alpha, \beta]^\pi) = 0.$$

However, we believe that our bracket (9) is much more reasonable since it is induced from the higher-order Courant bracket (5) and it is also a generalization of (8).

4 Multisymplectic structures

Definition 4.1. An $(n+1)$ -form ω on a smooth manifold M is a multisymplectic structure of order n , or an n -plectic structure if it is closed ($d\omega = 0$) and nondegenerate:

$$i_X\omega = 0 \Rightarrow X = 0, \quad \forall X \in T_m M, \quad m \in M.$$

If ω is an n -plectic structure on M , we call the pair (M, ω) an n -plectic manifold.

Remove the nondegenerate condition, we get premultisymplectic structures. About more details about multisymplectic structures, please see [3] and the references there.

Theorem 4.2. Let ω be an $(n+1)$ -form, the graph $\mathfrak{G}_\omega$ is a maximal isotropic subbundle of $\mathcal{T}^n$. Furthermore, it is closed under the higher-order Dorfman bracket (5) iff ω is closed.

Proof. For any n -plectic structure ω , we have

$$(X + \omega_\sharp(X), Y + \omega_\sharp(Y)) = \frac{1}{2}(i_Y i_X \omega + i_X i_Y \omega) = 0.$$

which implies that $\mathfrak{G}_\omega \subset TM \oplus \wedge^n T^*M$ is isotropic.

On the other hand, since ω is closed, we have

$$i_{[X, Y]}\omega = L_X i_Y \omega - i_Y d i_X \omega, \quad (13)$$

which yields that $\mathfrak{G}_\omega$ is closed under the bracket operation $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$. ■

Consider the deformed bracket (7), we have

Proposition 4.3. For an $(n+1)$ -form ω , its graph $\mathfrak{G}_\omega \subset \mathcal{T}^n$ is closed under the deformed bracket (7) if and only if

$$d\omega + \Theta = 0.$$

Proof. It is straightforward to see that the graph of ω is closed under the deformed bracket operation $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_\Theta$ is equivalent to that

$$i_{[X,Y]}\omega = L_X i_Y \omega - i_Y d i_X \omega + \Theta(X, Y).$$

On the other hand, we have

$$i_{[X,Y]}\omega = L_X i_Y \omega - i_Y L_X \omega = L_X i_Y \omega - i_Y i_X d\omega - i_Y d i_X \omega.$$

Thus, the graph of ω is closed under the deformed bracket (7) if and only if

$$i_Y i_X (d\omega + \Theta) = 0, \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M),$$

which is equivalent to that $d\omega + \Theta = 0$. ■

By Proposition 2.8, we have

Corollary 4.4. *If there is an $(n+1)$ -form ω such that its graph $\mathfrak{G}_\omega \subset \mathcal{T}^n$ is closed under the deformed bracket (7), then $e^{-\omega}$ is an isomorphism from the Leibniz algebroid $(\mathcal{T}^n, \{\cdot, \cdot\}_\Theta, \rho)$ to the Leibniz algebroid $(\mathcal{T}^n, \{\cdot, \cdot\}, \rho)$*

For an n -plectic manifold (M, ω) , an $(n-1)$ -form $\xi \in \Omega^{n-1}(M)$ is Hamiltonian if there is a vector field X_ξ such that

$$d\xi = i_{X_\xi} \omega. \quad (14)$$

We say X_ξ is the Hamiltonian vector field corresponding to ξ . The set of Hamiltonian $(n-1)$ -forms and the set of Hamiltonian vector fields on (M, ω) are denoted as $\text{Ham}(M)$ and $\mathfrak{X}_H(M)$.

In [3], Baez and Rogers generalize the Poisson bracket of functions in symplectic geometry to a bracket of Hamiltonian $(n-1)$ -forms in two ways. One is the so called hemi-bracket $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_h$ defined as follows:

$$\{\xi, \eta\}_h = L_{X_\xi} \eta, \quad \xi, \eta \in \text{Ham}(M). \quad (15)$$

The other one is the so called semi-bracket $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_s$ defined as follows:

$$\{\xi, \eta\}_s = i_{X_\xi} i_{X_\eta} \omega.$$

However, both of them are not Lie brackets. In particular, for 2-plectic structures, the author obtained hemistrict Lie 2-algebras and semistrict Lie 2-algebras.

Lie 2-algebras are categorification of Lie algebras. The Jacobi identity is replaced by a natural isomorphism, called the Jacobiator, which also satisfies a certain law of its own. A good introduction for this subject is [2].

Remark 4.5. *Note that by (14), usually $f\xi$ is not a Hamiltonian $(n-1)$ -form even if ξ is a Hamiltonian $(n-1)$ -form. Thus, $\text{Ham}(M)$ is not the section space of a vector bundle.*

In symplectic geometry and Poisson geometry, except the Poisson bracket of functions, one can also define a bracket on 1-forms and obtain the cotangent bundle Lie algebroid. In the following, we generalize this idea to the case of n -plectic geometry. For an n -plectic manifold (M, ω) , not all the $(n-1)$ -forms are Hamiltonian $(n-1)$ -forms. So there is no way to expect that we can introduce a bracket on all the n -forms such that $\wedge^n T^*M$ is a Lie algebroid. However, this can be done for a subbundle of $\wedge^n T^*M$.

Definition 4.6. *Let (M, ω) be an n -plectic manifold. $\alpha \in \Omega^n(M)$ is called an admissible n -form if there is a vector field $X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ such that $\alpha = i_{X_\alpha} \omega$.*

It is straightforward to see that ξ is a Hamiltonian $(n-1)$ -form iff $d\xi$ is an admissible n -form. Obviously, if α is an admissible n -form, then $f\alpha$ is also an admissible n -form since we have

$$f\alpha = fi_{X_\alpha}\omega = i_{fX_\alpha}\omega.$$

Therefore, the set of admissible n -forms is the section space of a subvector bundle of $\wedge^n T^*M$, which we call the **admissible bundle** and denote by A . Since ω is nondegenerate, we obtain a bundle map $\omega^\sharp : A \rightarrow TM$ by

$$\omega^\sharp(\alpha) = X_\alpha \quad \alpha \in \Gamma(A). \quad (16)$$

Define a skew-symmetric bracket operation $[\cdot, \cdot]_\omega$ on $\Gamma(A)$ by setting

$$[\alpha, \beta]_\omega = L_{X_\alpha}\beta - L_{X_\beta}\alpha - di_{X_\alpha}i_{X_\beta}\omega. \quad (17)$$

It is not hard to deduce that

Lemma 4.7. *With the above notations, for any admissible n -forms α, β , their bracket $[\alpha, \beta]_\omega$ is again an admissible n -form. Moreover, we have*

$$X_{[\alpha, \beta]_\omega} = [X_\alpha, X_\beta].$$

Thus, the bracket (17) is well defined.

Theorem 4.8. *With the above notations, $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_\omega, \omega^\sharp)$ is a Lie algebroid with the anchor $\omega^\sharp$, where $[\cdot, \cdot]_\omega$ and $\omega^\sharp$ are given by (17) and (16) respectively.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.7, we have

$$[[\alpha, \beta]_\omega, \gamma]_\omega + c.p. = i_{[[X_\alpha, X_\beta], X_\gamma] + c.p.}\omega = 0,$$

which implies that $[\cdot, \cdot]_\omega$ is a Lie bracket. Furthermore, for any $f \in C^\infty(M)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\alpha, f\beta]_\omega &= i_{[X_\alpha, fX_\beta]}\omega = fi_{[X_\alpha, X_\beta]}\omega + X_\alpha(f)i_{X_\beta}\omega \\ &= f[\alpha, \beta]_\omega + X_\alpha(f)\beta \\ &= f[\alpha, \beta]_\omega + \omega^\sharp(\alpha)(f)\beta, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_\omega, \omega^\sharp)$ is a Lie algebroid. ■

Remark 4.9. *When $n = 1$, A is exactly the cotangent bundle T^*M and we recover the cotangent bundle Lie algebroid.*

In the following, we consider the bracket of exact admissible n -forms. We will see how we obtain the hemi-bracket (15) on $\text{Ham}(M)$ from our Lie algebroid $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_\omega, \omega^\sharp)$.

Let $\alpha = d\xi$, $\beta = d\eta$ be two exact admissible n -forms, where ξ, η are $(n-1)$ -forms. Then we have

$$[\alpha, \beta]_\omega = [d\xi, d\eta]_\omega = L_{X_{d\xi}}d\eta = dL_{X_{d\xi}}\eta. \quad (18)$$

Thus, $[d\xi, d\eta]_\omega$ is again an exact admissible n -form. In this case we simply denote $X_{d\xi}$ by X_ξ . It is also the same as the Hamiltonian vector field of the Hamiltonian $(n-1)$ -form ξ . By (18), there is an induced bracket $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_\omega$ of Hamiltonian $(n-1)$ -forms by setting

$$\{\xi, \eta\}_\omega = L_{X_\xi}\eta.$$

This is exactly the hemi-bracket (15) of Hamiltonian $(n-1)$ -forms. Obviously, we have

$$d\{\xi, \eta\}_\omega = [d\xi, d\eta]_\omega.$$

However, even though the bracket operation $[\cdot, \cdot]_\omega$ is skew-symmetric, $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_\omega$ may be not skew-symmetric. The obstruction is given by an exact term, see [3] for more details. In particular, for 2-plectic structures, we obtain a hemistrict Lie 2-algebra of which the degree-0 part is $\text{Ham}(M)$ and the degree-1 part is $C^\infty(M)$.

Remark 4.10. *Rogers proved that one can associate a Lie n -algebra to an n -plectic structures [18]. It will be interesting to study whether one can associate L_∞ -algebras to Nambu-Poisson structures.*

References

- [1] Alekseev A and Kosmann-Schwarzbach Y. Manin pairs and moment maps. J. Differential Geom., 56(1):133–165, 2000.
- [2] Baez J C and Crans A S. Higher-dimensional algebra. VI. Lie 2-algebras. Theory Appl. Categ., 12:492–538 (electronic), 2004
- [3] Baez J C, Hoffnung A E, and Rogers C L. Categorified symplectic geometry and the classical string. Comm. Math. Phys., 293(3):701–725, 2010
- [4] Chatterjee R. Dynamical symmetries and Nambu mechanics. Lett. Math. Phys., 36(2):117–126, 1996
- [5] Chatterjee R and Takhtajan L. Aspects of classical and quantum Nambu mechanics. Lett. Math. Phys., 37(4):475–482, 1996
- [6] Chen Z and Liu Z J. Omni-Lie algebroids. J. Geom. Phys., 60(5):799–808, 2010
- [7] Chen Z, Liu Z J, and Sheng Y H. E -Courant algebroids, Int. Math. Res. Not. Vol. (2010), No. 22, pp. 4334–4376.
- [8] Courant T J. Dirac manifolds. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 319(2):631–661, 1990
- [9] Grabowski J and Marmo G. On Filippov algebroids and multiplicative Nambu-Poisson structures. Differential Geom. Appl., 12(1):35–50, 2000
- [10] Gualtieri M. Generalized complex geometry, arxiv:math.DG/0401221
- [11] Hagiwara Y. Nambu-Dirac manifolds. J. Phys. A, 35(5):1263–1281, 2002
- [12] Ibáñez R, de León M, Marrero J, and Martín de Diego D. Dynamics of generalized Poisson and Nambu-Poisson brackets. J. Math. Phys., 38(5):2332–2344, 1997
- [13] Ibáñez R, de León M, Marrero J, and Padrón E. Leibniz algebroid associated with a Nambu-Poisson structure. J. Phys. A, 32(46):8129–8144, 1999
- [14] Li-Bland D and Meinrenken E. Courant algebroids and Poisson geometry. Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN, (11):2106–2145, 2009
- [15] Liu Z J, Weinstein A, and Xu P. Manin triples for Lie bialgebroids. J. Diff. Geom., 45(3):547–574, 1997
- [16] Marmo G, Vilasi G, and Vinogradov A M. The local structure of n -Poisson and n -Jacobi manifolds. J. Geom. Phys., 25(1-2):141–182, 1998
- [17] Nakanishi N. On Nambu-Poisson manifolds. Rev. Math. Phys., 10(4):499–510, 1998

- [18] Rogers C. L-infinity algebras from multisymplectic geometry, arXiv:1005.2230
- [19] Roytenberg D. Courant algebroids, derived brackets and even symplectic supermanifolds, PhD thesis, UC Berkeley, 1999, arXiv:math.DG/9910078
- [20] Roytenberg D and Weinstein A. Courant algebroids and strongly homotopy Lie algebras. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 46(1):81–93, 1998
- [21] Ševera P and Weinstein A. Poisson geometry with a 3-form background. *Progr. Theoret. Phys. Suppl.*, (144):145–154, 2001. *Noncommutative geometry and string theory* (Yokohama, 2001)
- [22] Sheng Y H. Jacobi quasi-Nijenhuis algebroids. *Rep. Math. Phys.* 65, 271-287, 2010
- [23] Stiénon M and Xu P. Modular classes of Loday algebroids. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 346(3-4):193–198, 2008
- [24] Stiénon M and Xu P. Reduction of generalized complex structures. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 58(1):105–121, 2008
- [25] Takhtajan L. On foundation of the generalized Nambu mechanics. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 160(2):295–315, 1994
- [26] Zambon M. L-infinity algebras and higher analogues of Dirac structures, arXiv:1003.1004